Methods of Knowledge Based Software Development

Tanel Tammet, Juhan Ernits Department of Computer Science Tallinn University of Technology <u>tanel.tammet@ttu.ee</u>, juhan.ernits@ttu.ee 2016

Search strategies

- A search strategy is defined by picking the order of node expansion
- Strategies are evaluated along the following dimensions:
 - completeness: does it always find a solution if one exists?
 - time complexity: number of nodes generated
 - space complexity: maximum number of nodes in memory
 - optimality: does it always find a least-cost solution?
- Time and space complexity are measured in terms of
 - *b:* maximum branching factor of the search tree
 - *d*: depth of the least-cost solution
 - *m*: maximum depth of the state space (may be ∞)

Uninformed search strategies

- Uninformed search strategies use only the information available in the problem definition
- Breadth-first search
- Uniform-cost search
- Depth-first search
- Depth-limited search
- Iterative deepening search

- Expand shallowest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - *frontier* is a FIFO queue, i.e., new successors go at end



- Expand shallowest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - *frontier* is a FIFO queue, i.e., new successors go at end



- Expand shallowest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - *frontier* is a FIFO queue, i.e., new successors go at end



- Expand shallowest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - *frontier* is a FIFO queue, i.e., new successors go at end



Properties of breadth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u>
- <u>Time?</u>
- Space?
- Optimal?

Properties of breadth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u> Yes (if *b* is finite)
- <u>Time?</u> $1+b+b^2+b^3+...+b^d = O(b^d)$
- <u>Space?</u> O(b^d) (keeps every node in memory)
- <u>Optimal</u>? Yes
- Space is the bigger problem (more than time)

Uniform-cost search

- Expand least-cost unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = queue ordered by path cost
- <u>Complete?</u>
- <u>Time</u>
- <u>Space?</u>
- Optimal?

Uniform-cost search

- Expand least-cost unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = queue ordered by path cost
- <u>Complete?</u> Yes, if step cost $\geq \epsilon$
- <u>Time?</u> # of nodes with $g \le \text{cost}$ of optimal solution, $O(b^{\text{ceiling}(C^*/\epsilon)})$ where C^* is the cost of the optimal solution
- <u>Space?</u> # of nodes with $g \le \text{cost}$ of optimal solution, $O(b^{\text{ceiling}(C^*/\varepsilon)})$
- Optimal? Yes nodes expanded in increasing order of g(n)
- Equivalent to breadth-first if step costs all equal

- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



- Expand deepest unexpanded node
- Implementation:
 - frontier = LIFO queue, i.e., put successors at front



Properties of depth-first search

• <u>Complete?</u>



- Space?
- Optimal?

Properties of depth-first search

- <u>Complete?</u> No: fails in infinite-depth spaces, spaces with loops
 - Modify to avoid repeated states along path
 - \rightarrow complete in finite spaces
- <u>Time?</u> $O(b^m)$: terrible if *m* is much larger than *d*
 - but if solutions are dense, may be much faster than breadth-first
- <u>Space?</u> O(bm), i.e., linear space!
- Optimal? No

Depth-limited search

- = depth-first search with depth limit *I*,
- i.e., nodes at depth / have no successors

• Recursive implementation:

```
function DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH( problem, limit) returns soln/fail/cutoff

RECURSIVE-DLS(MAKE-NODE(INITIAL-STATE[problem]), problem, limit)

function RECURSIVE-DLS(node, problem, limit) returns soln/fail/cutoff

cutoff-occurred? \leftarrow false

if GOAL-TEST[problem](STATE[node]) then return SOLUTION(node)

else if DEPTH[node] = limit then return cutoff

else for each successor in EXPAND(node, problem) do

result \leftarrow RECURSIVE-DLS(successor, problem, limit)

if result = cutoff then cutoff-occurred? \leftarrow true

else if result \neq failure then return result

if cutoff-occurred? then return cutoff else return failure
```

Depth limited search in Python

```
def depth limited search (problem, limit=50):
    "[Fig. 3.17]"
    def recursive dls(node, problem, limit):
        if problem.goal test(node.state):
            return node
        elif node.depth == limit:
            return 'cutoff'
        else:
            cutoff occurred = False
            for child in node.expand(problem):
                result = recursive dls(child, problem, limit)
                if result == 'cutoff':
                    cutoff occurred = True
                elif result is not None:
                    return result
            return if (cutoff occurred, 'cutoff', None)
    # Body of depth limited search:
```

return recursive dls (Node (problem.initial), problem, limit)

function ITERATIVE-DEEPENING-SEARCH(*problem*) returns a solution, or failure

```
inputs: problem, a problem
```

```
for depth \leftarrow 0 to \infty do

result \leftarrow DEPTH-LIMITED-SEARCH(problem, depth)

if result \neq cutoff then return result
```

```
def iterative_deepening_search(problem):
    "[Fig. 3.18]"
    for depth in xrange(sys.maxint):
        result = depth_limited_search(problem, depth)
        if result != 'cutoff':
            return result
```

Limit = 0









- Number of nodes generated in a depth-limited search to depth dwith branching factor b: $N_{DIS} = b^0 + b^1 + b^2 + ... + b^{d-2} + b^{d-1} + b^d$
- Number of nodes generated in an iterative deepening search to depth *d* with branching factor *b*: N_{IDS} = (d+1)b⁰ + d b¹ + (d-1)b² + ... + 3b^{d-2} + 2b^{d-1} + 1b^d
- For *b* = 10, *d* = 5,

$$= N_{DLS} = 1 + 10 + 100 + 1,000 + 10,000 + 100,000 = 111,111$$

$$= N_{IDS} = 6 + 50 + 400 + 3,000 + 20,000 + 100,000 = 123,456$$

• Overhead = (123,456 - 111,111)/111,111 = 11%

Properties of iterative deepening search

- <u>Complete?</u> Yes
- <u>Time?</u> $(d+1)b^0 + d b^1 + (d-1)b^2 + ... + b^d = O(b^d)$
- <u>Space?</u> O(bd)
- Optimal? Yes, if step cost = 1

Bidirectional search



- Run two simultaneous searches in parallel
- Ideally $b^{d/2} + b^{d/2} << b^d$
 - But there has to be an **intersection check** if the frontiers intersect.

Summary of algorithms

Criterion	Breadth- first	Uniform- cost	Depth-first	Depth- limited	Iterative deepening	Bidirection al (if applicable)
Complete?	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes
Time	O(b ^d)	O(b¹+└C*/ɛ┘)	O(b ^m)	O(b ^I)	O(b ^d)	O(b ^{d/2})
Space	O(b ^d)	O(b¹+└C*/ɛ┘)	O(bm)	O(bl)	O(bd)	O(b ^{d/2})
Optimal?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes

Repeated states

• Failure to detect repeated states can turn a linear problem into an exponential one!



Summary

- Problem formulation usually requires abstracting away realworld details to define a state space that can feasibly be explored
- Variety of uninformed search strategies
- Iterative deepening search uses only linear space and not much more time than other uninformed algorithms

Acknowledgements

 This set of slides contains several prepared by Hwee Tou Ng and Stuart Russell, available from <u>the AIMA pages</u>.