# ITC8190 Mathematics for Computer Science Congruences

Aleksandr Lenin

October 30th, 2018

Two integers a and b are said to be **congruent modulo n** if n divides their difference. In other words, n|a-b.

Since congruence is an equivalence relation on the set of integers, any two congruent integers fall in the same equivalence class.

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n|a-b \iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} : a = b + kn$$
.

I.e.,

$$-1 \equiv 2 \pmod 3 \ , \quad 7 \equiv 1 \pmod 3 \ , \quad 2 \equiv 12 \pmod 5 \ .$$

We can define addition  $\oplus$  and multiplication  $\otimes$  in number domain  $\mathbf{Z}_m$  by

$$a \oplus b = (a+b) \mod m$$
,  
 $a \otimes b = (a \cdot b) \mod m$ .

I.e., in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , it holds that

$$2 \oplus 2 = 2 \otimes 2 = 1 , \qquad 1 \oplus 2 = 0 ,$$

and in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ :

$$2 \oplus 3 = 0$$
,  $3 \oplus 3 = 3 \otimes 2 = 1$ ,  $3 \otimes 4 = 2$ .

 $\operatorname{mod} m$  may be viewed as a function  $\operatorname{mod} m : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_m$ . with the following properties:

• mod m is idemponent: (a mod m) mod m = a mod m.

$$(a \mod m) \mod m = (a + \alpha m) \mod m$$
  
=  $(a + \alpha m) + \beta m = a + (\alpha + \beta) m$   
=  $a \mod m$ .

• mod m preserves operations (i.e. is a ring homomorphism):

$$a \bmod m + b \bmod m = a + \alpha m + b + \beta m$$

$$= a + b + (\alpha + \beta) m$$

$$= (a + b) \bmod m,$$

$$a \bmod m \cdot b \bmod m = (a + \alpha m)(b + \beta m)$$

$$= ab + \underbrace{(a\beta + \alpha b + \alpha \beta m)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} m$$

$$= (a \cdot b) \bmod m.$$

## Conclusion 1

When computing

$$a + (b \cdot (c + (d \cdot (e + f)) \dots))$$

we can reduce mod m whenever we like, the result will not change.

## Conclusion 2

Operations  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  are somewhat similar to usual addition + and multiplication  $\times$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Despite  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  differ from + and  $\times$ , we will use the usual notation + and  $\times$  whenever appropriate, if it will not cause confusion.

The following properties hold in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ :

- Associativity: a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c, as well as  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$
- Commutativity: a + b = b + a, and  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$
- Distributivity:  $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$
- Zero: a + 0 = 0 + a (0 is the additive identity)
- Unit:  $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a$  (1 is the multiplicative identity)
- Additive inverse -a of element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $m a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , because

$$a + (-a) = a + m - a = m \equiv 0 \pmod{m}.$$

The following properties hold in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ :

• Zero divisors: the product of two non-zero elements can be zero. I.e.,

$$2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$$
,  $3 \cdot 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$ .

• The sum of two non-negative elements can be zero. I.e.,

$$2+3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$
,  $5+7 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$ .

• Not every element a has a multiplicative inverse  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$ . I.e.,  $2^{-1} = 3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , since

$$2 \cdot 3 = 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} ,$$

but 2 is not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

Since some elements are not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , some congruence equations with non-invertible coefficients are not solvable. I.e.,

$$2 \cdot x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

is solvable, and the solution is x = 6 because

$$2 \cdot 6 = 12 \equiv 5 \pmod{7} ,$$

but, the equation

$$2 \cdot x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

is not solvable.

Which elements are invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ ?

## Theorem 1

An element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is invertible iff gcd(a, m) = 1.

# Proof.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  be such that gcd(a, m) = 1. Then, by the Bézout identity, there exist integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$1 = \gcd(a, b) = \alpha a + \beta m \equiv \alpha a \pmod{m} ,$$

which means that  $a^{-1} \equiv \alpha \pmod{m}$ .

Let a be an invertible element of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Then there exists  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then  $a \cdot a^{-1} + \beta m = 1$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and by the Bézout identity, it means that  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ .

#### Theorem 2

Zero divisers are not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

# Proof.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $a \neq 0$  be a zero divisor, i.e. there exists  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $b \neq 0$  such that  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Assume a is invertible, i.e. there exists  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \implies a^{-1}ab \equiv a^{-1} \cdot 0 \pmod{m}$$
  
 $\implies b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ ,

a contradiction.

## Theorem 3

The equation ax mod n = c with  $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is solvable iff gcd(a, n)|c.

## Proof.

If the equation is solvable and gcd(a, n) = d, then there exist integers  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = \alpha d$  and  $n = \beta d$ , and hence d|c, because

$$c = ax \mod n = ax + kn = \alpha dx + \beta dk = (\alpha x + \beta k)d$$
,

If  $d = \gcd(a, n)$  and d|c, then  $\gcd\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$ , and hence  $\frac{a}{d}$  is invertible modulo  $\frac{n}{d}$ , and the equation  $\frac{a}{d}x \bmod \frac{n}{d} = \frac{c}{d}$  is solvable, i.e.  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$ :

$$\frac{a}{d}x + k\frac{n}{d} = \frac{c}{d} \implies ax + kn = c \implies ax = c \pmod{n} .$$

How many invertible elements are there in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ?

The Euler's phi function (a.k.a. Euler's totient function) for any given n > 0 returns the number of integers in the range  $0, \ldots, n-1$  that are co-prime to n. Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ . Then

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) .$$

This formula works in all cases. However, if n is some prime p, then the formula takes its simplified form

$$\varphi(p) = p - 1 .$$

If  $n = n_1 \cdot n_2$ , such that  $gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , then

$$\varphi(n_1 \cdot n_2) = \phi(n_1) \cdot \phi(n_2) .$$

$$\varphi(36) = \phi(2^2 \cdot 3^2) = 36 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 36 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 12 ,$$

$$\varphi(6) = \phi(2 \cdot 3) = \phi(2) \cdot \phi(3) = (2 - 1)(3 - 1) = 2 ,$$

 $\varphi(12) = \varphi(2^2 \cdot 3) = \varphi(2^2) \cdot (3-1) = 4 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 2 = 4$ .

Indeed, only two integers are co-prime to 6, they are 1 and 5. Integers co-prime to 12 are  $\{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ , 4 of them in total.

## Theorem 4

If  $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$  is the prime decomposition of n and n > 0, then

$$\phi(n) = n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) .$$

The proof uses inclusion-exclusion principle from counting theory.

Let  $P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k$  be the subsets of M. We want to count those elements of M that belong to none of  $P_n$ , i.e. we want to compute  $|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n|$ .

If 
$$k = 1$$
, then  $|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1|$ .  
If  $k = 2$ , then  $|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1| - |P_2| + |P_1 \cap P_2|$ .

If k=3, then:

$$|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1| - |P_2| - |P_3| + |P_1 \cap P_2| + |P_2 \cap P_3| + |P_1 \cap P_3| - |P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3|.$$

General case:

$$|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 + \dots (-1)^i \Sigma_i + \dots$$

where

$$\Sigma_i = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_i} \in c(i) | P_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{j_i} | ,$$

and the summation is over the set c(i) of all *i*-combinations of indices  $1, 2, \ldots, k$ . There are  $\binom{k}{i}$  of them.

#### Proof.

Let  $M = \mathbb{Z}_m$ , where  $m = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$ . Let  $P_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_m : p_n | x\}$  be the set of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  divisible by  $p_n$ . Then  $\phi(n) = |M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n|$ .

This is because  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is invertible if none iff none of  $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k$  divides a.

$$|P_i| = \frac{m}{p_i} ,$$

$$|P_i \cap P_j| = \frac{m}{p_i p_j} ,$$

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap P_{i_l}| = \frac{m}{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_l}} .$$

## And hence:

$$\phi(n) = m - \frac{m}{p_1} - \frac{m}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{m}{p_k} + \frac{m}{p_1 p_2} + \dots + \frac{m}{p_1 p_k} + \dots + \frac{m}{p_2 p_k} - \dots - \frac{m}{p_1 p_2 p_k} - \dots$$

$$= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1 p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_k} - \dots\right)$$

$$= m \cdot \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots\right) - \frac{1}{p_1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots\right) \right]$$

$$= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left[ \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots\right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots\right) \right]$$

$$= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left[ \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) \right] = m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)$$

