ITC8190 Mathematics for Computer Science Congruences

Aleksandr Lenin

October 30th, 2018

Two integers a and b are said to be **congruent modulo n** if n divides their difference. In other words, n|a - b.

Since congruence is an equivalence relation on the set of integers, any two congruent integers fall in the same equivalence class.

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n|a-b \iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} : a = b + kn$$
.
I.e.,

$$-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$
, $7 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$, $2 \equiv 12 \pmod{5}$.

We can define addition \oplus and multiplication \otimes in number domain \mathbf{Z}_m by

$$a \oplus b = (a + b) \mod m$$
,
 $a \otimes b = (a \cdot b) \mod m$.

I.e., in \mathbb{Z}_3 , it holds that

$$2 \oplus 2 = 2 \otimes 2 = 1 \quad , \qquad \qquad 1 \oplus 2 = 0 \quad ,$$

and in \mathbb{Z}_5 :

$$2 \oplus 3 = 0$$
, $3 \oplus 3 = 3 \otimes 2 = 1$, $3 \otimes 4 = 2$.

 $\mod m$ may be viewed as a function $\mod m: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_m$. with the following properties:

• mod m is idemponent: $(a \mod m) \mod m = a \mod m$.

$$(a \mod m) \mod m = (a + \alpha m) \mod m$$

= $(a + \alpha m) + \beta m = a + (\alpha + \beta)m$
= $a \mod m$.

• mod *m* preserves operations (i.e. is a ring homomorphism):

$$a \mod m + b \mod m = a + \alpha m + b + \beta m$$
$$= a + b + (\alpha + \beta)m$$
$$= (a + b) \mod m ,$$
$$a \mod m \cdot b \mod m = (a + \alpha m)(b + \beta m)$$
$$= ab + \underbrace{(a\beta + \alpha b + \alpha \beta m)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} m$$
$$= (a \cdot b) \mod m .$$

Conclusion 1 When computing

$$a + (b \cdot (c + (d \cdot (e + f)) \dots))$$

we can reduce mod m whenever we like, the result will not change.

Conclusion 2

Operations \oplus and \otimes are somewhat similar to usual addition + and multiplication \times in \mathbb{Z} .

Despite \oplus and \otimes differ from + and \times , we will use the usual notation + and \times whenever appropriate, if it will not cause confusion.

The following properties hold in \mathbb{Z}_m :

- Associativity: a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c, as well as $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$
- Commutativity: a + b = b + a, and $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$
- Distributivity: $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$
- Zero: a + 0 = 0 + a (0 is the additive identity)
- Unit: $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a$ (1 is the multiplicative identity)
- Additive inverse -a of element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is $m a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, because

$$a+(-a)=a+m-a=m\equiv 0 \pmod{m} \ .$$

The following properties hold in \mathbb{Z}_m :

• Zero divisors: the product of two non-zero elements can be zero. I.e.,

 $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$, $3 \cdot 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}$.

• The sum of two positive elements can be zero. I.e.,

$$2+3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$
, $5+7 \equiv 0 \pmod{12}$.

• Not every element a has a multiplicative inverse $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$. I.e., $2^{-1} = 3$ in \mathbb{Z}_5 , since

$$2 \cdot 3 = 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} ,$$

but 2 is not invertible in \mathbb{Z}_6 .

Since some elements are not invertible in \mathbb{Z}_n , some congruence equations with non-invertible coefficients are not solvable. I.e.,

$$2 \cdot x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

is solvable, and the solution is x = 6 because

$$2 \cdot 6 = 12 \equiv 5 \pmod{7} \; ,$$

but, the equation

$$2 \cdot x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

is not solvable.

Which elements are invertible in \mathbb{Z}_m ?

Theorem 1 An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is invertible iff gcd(a, m) = 1.

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ be such that gcd(a, m) = 1. Then, by the Bézout identity, there exist integers α and β such that

$$1 = \gcd(a, b) = \alpha a + \beta m \equiv \alpha a \pmod{m} ,$$

which means that $a^{-1} \equiv \alpha \pmod{m}$.

Let *a* be an invertible element of \mathbb{Z}_m . Then there exists $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then $a \cdot a^{-1} + \beta m = 1$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$, and by the Bézout identity, it means that gcd(a, m) = 1.

Theorem 2 Zero divisers are not invertible in \mathbb{Z}_m .

Proof.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, $a \neq 0$ be a zero divisor, i.e. there exists $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, $b \neq 0$ such that $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$. Assume *a* is invertible, i.e. there exists $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ such that $a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Then

$$ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m} \implies a^{-1}ab \equiv a^{-1} \cdot 0 \pmod{m}$$

 $\implies b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$,

a contradiction.

Theorem 3

The equation as mod n = c with $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is solvable iff gcd(a, n)|c.

Proof.

If the equation is solvable and gcd(a, n) = d, then there exist integers $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $a = \alpha d$ and $n = \beta d$, and hence d|c, because

$$c = ax \mod n = ax + kn = \alpha dx + \beta dk = (\alpha x + \beta k)d$$
,

If $d = \gcd(a, n)$ and d|c, then $\gcd\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$, and hence $\frac{a}{d}$ is invertible modulo $\frac{n}{d}$, and the equation $\frac{a}{d}x \mod \frac{n}{d} = \frac{c}{d}$ is solvable, i.e. $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\frac{a}{d}x + k\frac{n}{d} = \frac{c}{d} \implies ax + kn = c \implies ax = c \pmod{n} .$$

How many invertible elements are there in \mathbb{Z}_n ?

The Euler's phi function (a.k.a. Euler's totient function) for any given n > 0 returns the number of integers in the range $0, \ldots, n-1$ that are co-prime to n. Let $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e^k}$. Then

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$$

This formula works in all cases. However, if n is some prime p, then the formula takes its simplified form

$$\varphi(p) = p - 1 \; .$$

If $n = n_1 \cdot n_2$, such that $gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$, then

$$\varphi(n_1 \cdot n_2) = \phi(n_1) \cdot \phi(n_2) \; .$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(36) &= \phi(2^2 \cdot 3^2) = 36 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 36 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 12 ,\\ \varphi(6) &= \phi(2 \cdot 3) = \phi(2) \cdot \phi(3) = (2 - 1)(3 - 1) = 2 ,\\ \varphi(12) &= \varphi(2^2 \cdot 3) = \varphi(2^2) \cdot (3 - 1) = 4 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 2 = 4 . \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, only two integers are co-prime to 6, they are 1 and 5. Integers co-prime to 12 are $\{1, 5, 7, 11\}$, 4 of them in total.

Theorem 4 If $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$ is the prime decomposition of n and n > 0, then

$$\phi(n) = n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right)$$

•

The proof uses inclusion-exclusion principle from counting theory.

Let P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_k be the subsets of M. We want to count those elements of M that belong to none of P_n , i.e. we want to compute $|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n|$.

If
$$k = 1$$
, then $|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1|$.
If $k = 2$, then $|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1| - |P_2| + |P_1 \cap P_2|$.
If $k = 3$, then:

$$|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1| - |P_2| - |P_3| + |P_1 \cap P_2| + |P_2 \cap P_3| + |P_1 \cap P_3| - |P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3|$$

General case:

$$|M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n| = |M| - \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 + \dots (-1)^i \Sigma_i + \dots ,$$

where

$$\Sigma_i = \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_i} \in c(i) |P_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{j_i}| ,$$

and the summation is over the set c(i) of all *i*-combinations of indices $1, 2, \ldots, k$. There are $\binom{k}{i}$ of them.

Proof.

Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_m$, where $m = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$. Let $P_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_m : p_n | x\}$ be the set of elements in \mathbb{Z}_m divisible by p_n . Then $\phi(n) = |M \setminus \bigcup_n P_n|$.

This is because $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ is invertible if none iff none of p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k divides a.

$$|P_i| = \frac{m}{p_i} ,$$

$$|P_i \cap P_j| = \frac{m}{p_i p_j} ,$$

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \ldots \cap P_{i_l}| = \frac{m}{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_l}}$$

And hence:

$$\begin{split} \phi(n) &= m - \frac{m}{p_1} - \frac{m}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{m}{p_k} + \frac{m}{p_1 p_2} + \dots + \frac{m}{p_1 p_k} + \dots + \frac{m}{p_2 p_k} - \dots - \frac{m}{p_1 p_2 p_k} - \dots \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1 p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_k} - \dots \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left[\left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots \right) - \frac{1}{p_1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots \right) \right] \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left[\left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \right] = m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left[\left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \right] = m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left[\left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \right] = m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left[\left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \right] = m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left[\left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left(1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \right] = m \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \cdot \dots \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right)$$

