

ITC8190  
Mathematics for Computer Science  
Congruences

Aleksandr Lenin

October 30th, 2018

Two integers  $a$  and  $b$  are said to be **congruent modulo  $n$**  if  $n$  divides their difference. In other words,  $n|a - b$ .

Since congruence is an equivalence relation on the set of integers, any two congruent integers fall in the same equivalence class.

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff n|a - b \iff \exists k \in \mathbb{Z} : a = b + kn .$$

I.e.,

$$-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} , \quad 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} , \quad 2 \equiv 12 \pmod{5} .$$

We can define addition  $\oplus$  and multiplication  $\otimes$  in number domain  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  by

$$\begin{aligned}a \oplus b &= (a + b) \bmod m , \\a \otimes b &= (a \cdot b) \bmod m .\end{aligned}$$

I.e., in  $\mathbb{Z}_3$ , it holds that

$$2 \oplus 2 = 2 \otimes 2 = 1 , \quad 1 \oplus 2 = 0 ,$$

and in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ :

$$2 \oplus 3 = 0 , \quad 3 \oplus 3 = 3 \otimes 2 = 1 , \quad 3 \otimes 4 = 2 .$$

$\text{mod } m$  may be viewed as a function  $\text{mod } m : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_m$  .  
with the following properties:

- $\text{mod } m$  is idempotent:  $(a \text{ mod } m) \text{ mod } m = a \text{ mod } m$ .

$$\begin{aligned}(a \text{ mod } m) \text{ mod } m &= (a + \alpha m) \text{ mod } m \\ &= (a + \alpha m) + \beta m = a + (\alpha + \beta)m \\ &= a \text{ mod } m .\end{aligned}$$

- $\text{mod } m$  preserves operations (i.e. is a ring homomorphism):

$$\begin{aligned}a \text{ mod } m + b \text{ mod } m &= a + \alpha m + b + \beta m \\ &= a + b + (\alpha + \beta)m \\ &= (a + b) \text{ mod } m ,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}a \text{ mod } m \cdot b \text{ mod } m &= (a + \alpha m)(b + \beta m) \\ &= ab + \underbrace{(a\beta + \alpha b + \alpha\beta m)}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \\ &= (a \cdot b) \text{ mod } m .\end{aligned}$$

## Conclusion 1

*When computing*

$$a + (b \cdot (c + (d \cdot (e + f)) \dots))$$

*we can reduce mod  $m$  whenever we like, the result will not change.*

## Conclusion 2

*Operations  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  are somewhat similar to usual addition  $+$  and multiplication  $\times$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .*

Despite  $\oplus$  and  $\otimes$  differ from  $+$  and  $\times$ , we will use the usual notation  $+$  and  $\times$  whenever appropriate, if it will not cause confusion.

The following properties hold in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ :

- Associativity:  $a + (b + c) = (a + b) + c$ , as well as  $a \cdot (b \cdot c) = (a \cdot b) \cdot c$
- Commutativity:  $a + b = b + a$ , and  $a \cdot b = b \cdot a$
- Distributivity:  $(a + b) \cdot c = (a \cdot c) + (b \cdot c)$
- Zero:  $a + 0 = 0 + a$  (0 is the additive identity)
- Unit:  $a \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a$  (1 is the multiplicative identity)
- Additive inverse  $-a$  of element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is  $m - a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ , because

$$a + (-a) = a + m - a = m \equiv 0 \pmod{m} .$$

The following properties hold in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ :

- Zero divisors: the product of two non-zero elements can be zero. I.e.,

$$2 \cdot 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{6}, \quad 3 \cdot 4 \equiv 0 \pmod{6} .$$

- The sum of two positive elements can be zero. I.e.,

$$2 + 3 \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad 5 + 7 \equiv 0 \pmod{12} .$$

- Not every element  $a$  has a multiplicative inverse  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} = 1$ . I.e.,  $2^{-1} = 3$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ , since

$$2 \cdot 3 = 6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5},$$

but 2 is not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ .

Since some elements are not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , some congruence equations with non-invertible coefficients are not solvable. I.e.,

$$2 \cdot x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

is solvable, and the solution is  $x = 6$  because

$$2 \cdot 6 = 12 \equiv 5 \pmod{7} ,$$

but, the equation

$$2 \cdot x \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$$

is not solvable.



Which elements are invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ ?

### Theorem 1

*An element  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is invertible iff  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ .*

### Proof.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  be such that  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . Then, by the Bézout identity, there exist integers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  such that

$$1 = \gcd(a, b) = \alpha a + \beta m \equiv \alpha a \pmod{m},$$

which means that  $a^{-1} \equiv \alpha \pmod{m}$ .

Let  $a$  be an invertible element of  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . Then there exists  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then  $a \cdot a^{-1} + \beta m = 1$  for some  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and by the Bézout identity, it means that  $\gcd(a, m) = 1$ . □

## Theorem 2

*Zero divisors are not invertible in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .*

### Proof.

Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $a \neq 0$  be a zero divisor, i.e. there exists  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_m$ ,  $b \neq 0$  such that  $ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ . Assume  $a$  is invertible, i.e. there exists  $a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that  $a \cdot a^{-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} ab \equiv 0 \pmod{m} &\implies a^{-1}ab \equiv a^{-1} \cdot 0 \pmod{m} \\ &\implies b \equiv 0 \pmod{m}, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. □

### Theorem 3

The equation  $ax \bmod n = c$  with  $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n$  is solvable iff  $\gcd(a, n) \mid c$ .

#### Proof.

If the equation is solvable and  $\gcd(a, n) = d$ , then there exist integers  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a = \alpha d$  and  $n = \beta d$ , and hence  $d \mid c$ , because

$$c = ax \bmod n = ax + kn = \alpha dx + \beta dk = (\alpha x + \beta k)d ,$$

If  $d = \gcd(a, n)$  and  $d \mid c$ , then  $\gcd\left(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{n}{d}\right) = 1$ , and hence  $\frac{a}{d}$  is invertible modulo  $\frac{n}{d}$ , and the equation  $\frac{a}{d}x \bmod \frac{n}{d} = \frac{c}{d}$  is solvable, i.e.  $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}$  :

$$\frac{a}{d}x + k\frac{n}{d} = \frac{c}{d} \implies ax + kn = c \implies ax = c \pmod{n} .$$



How many invertible elements are there in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ ?

The **Euler's phi function** (a.k.a. **Euler's totient function**) for any given  $n > 0$  returns the number of integers in the range  $0, \dots, n - 1$  that are co-prime to  $n$ . Let  $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_k^{e_k}$ . Then

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \prod_{p|n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) .$$

This formula works in all cases. However, if  $n$  is some prime  $p$ , then the formula takes its simplified form

$$\varphi(p) = p - 1 .$$

If  $n = n_1 \cdot n_2$ , such that  $\gcd(n_1, n_2) = 1$ , then

$$\varphi(n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdots n_k) = \varphi(n_1) \cdot \varphi(n_2) \cdots \varphi(n_k) .$$

$$\varphi(36) = \varphi(2^2 \cdot 3^2) = 36 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\right) = 36 \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3} = 12 ,$$

$$\varphi(6) = \varphi(2 \cdot 3) = \varphi(2) \cdot \varphi(3) = (2 - 1)(3 - 1) = 2 ,$$

$$\varphi(12) = \varphi(2^2 \cdot 3) = \varphi(2^2) \cdot (3 - 1) = 4 \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \cdot 2 = 4 .$$

Indeed, only two integers are co-prime to 6, they are 1 and 5. Integers co-prime to 12 are  $\{1, 5, 7, 11\}$ , 4 of them in total.

## Theorem 4

If  $n = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$  is the prime decomposition of  $n$  and  $n > 0$ , then

$$\varphi(n) = n \cdot \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_2}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_k}\right) .$$

The proof uses inclusion-exclusion principle from counting theory.

Let  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k$  be the subsets of  $M$ . We want to count those elements of  $M$  that belong to none of  $P_n$ , i.e. we want to compute  $|M \setminus \cup_n P_n|$ .

If  $k = 1$ , then  $|M \setminus \cup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1|$ .

If  $k = 2$ , then  $|M \setminus \cup_n P_n| = |M| - |P_1| - |P_2| + |P_1 \cap P_2|$ .

If  $k = 3$ , then:

$$\begin{aligned} |M \setminus \cup_n P_n| &= |M| - |P_1| - |P_2| - |P_3| \\ &\quad + |P_1 \cap P_2| + |P_2 \cap P_3| + |P_1 \cap P_3| - |P_1 \cap P_2 \cap P_3| . \end{aligned}$$

General case:

$$|M \setminus \cup_n P_n| = |M| - \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2 - \Sigma_3 + \dots + (-1)^i \Sigma_i + \dots ,$$

where

$$\Sigma_i = \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_i} \in c(i) |P_{j_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{j_i}| ,$$

and the summation is over the set  $c(i)$  of all  $i$ -combinations of indices  $1, 2, \dots, k$ . There are  $\binom{k}{i}$  of them.



## Proof.

Let  $M = \mathbb{Z}_m$ , where  $m = p_1^{e_1} \cdot p_2^{e_2} \cdot \dots \cdot p_k^{e_k}$ . Let  $P_n = \{x \in \mathbb{Z}_m : p_n | x\}$  be the set of elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  divisible by  $p_n$ . Then  $\varphi(n) = |M \setminus \cup_n P_n|$ .

This is because  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  is invertible if and only if none of  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k$  divides  $a$ .

$$|P_i| = \frac{m}{p_i} ,$$

$$|P_i \cap P_j| = \frac{m}{p_i p_j} ,$$

$$|P_{i_1} \cap \dots \cap P_{i_l}| = \frac{m}{p_{i_1} p_{i_2} \cdots p_{i_l}} .$$



And hence:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(n) &= m - \frac{m}{p_1} - \frac{m}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{m}{p_k} + \frac{m}{p_1 p_2} + \dots + \frac{m}{p_1 p_k} + \dots + \frac{m}{p_2 p_k} - \dots - \frac{m}{p_1 p_2 p_k} - \dots \\ &= m \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \frac{1}{p_1 p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_1 p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_1 p_2 p_k} - \dots \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left[ \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots \right) - \frac{1}{p_1} \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots \right) \right] \\ &= m \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_2 p_k} + \dots \right) \\ &= m \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left[ \left( 1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) - \frac{1}{p_2} \cdot \left( 1 - \dots - \frac{1}{p_k} \right) \right] = m \cdot \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_1} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_2} \right) \dots \left( 1 - \frac{1}{p_k} \right)\end{aligned}$$

□

## Theorem 5 (Chinese Remainder Theorem (CRT))

If  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  are pairwise co-prime integers and if  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  are any integers such that  $0 \leq a_i < n_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , then the system of congruence equations

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1} \\x &\equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2} \\&\dots \\x &\equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

has a unique solution  $0 \leq x < N$ , where  $N = \prod_{i=1}^k n_i$ , such that  $x \bmod n_i = a_i$  for every  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

## Proof.

Suppose that  $x$  and  $y$  are both solutions to (1). Then

$$\forall i = 1, 2, \dots, k : x \bmod n_i = y \bmod n_i = a_i \implies n_i | x - y .$$

Since all  $n_i$  are pairwise co-prime, their product  $N$  also divides  $x - y$ , and hence  $x \equiv y \pmod{N}$ . Considering that  $x$  and  $y$  are nonnegative and less than  $N$ , the statement  $N | x - y$  is true only if  $x = y$ . Hence, the solution to the system (1) is unique. □

## Theorem 6

Let  $n_1, n_2$  be co-prime integers and let  $a_1, a_2$  be any integers such that  $0 \leq a_1 < n_1$  and  $0 \leq a_2 < n_2$ . Then the solution to the system of congruence equations

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}$$

is

$$x \equiv a_1 m_2 n_2 + a_2 m_1 n_1 \pmod{n_1 n_2},$$

where  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  are the coefficients of the Bézout identity  $m_1 n_1 + m_2 n_2 = 1 = \gcd(n_1, n_2)$ .

## Proof.

Indeed, considering that by the Bézout identity

$$m_2 n_2 = 1 - m_1 n_1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a_1 m_2 n_2 + a_2 m_1 n_1 = a_1 (1 - m_1 n_1) + a_2 m_1 n_1 \\ &= a_1 + (a_2 - a_1) m_1 n_1 \implies x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1} . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by the Bézout identity,  $m_1 n_1 = 1 - m_2 n_2$ , and hence

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a_1 m_2 n_2 + a_2 m_1 n_1 = a_1 m_2 n_2 + a_2 (1 - m_2 n_2) \\ &= a_2 + (a_1 - a_2) m_2 n_2 \implies x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2} . \end{aligned}$$



I.e., consider the following system of equations

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$$

Since  $\gcd(5, 6) = 1 \cdot 6 + (-1) \cdot 5 = 1$ , the solution is  
 $x = 2 \cdot 6 \cdot 1 + 4 \cdot 5 \cdot (-1) = 12 - 20 = -18 \equiv 22 \pmod{30}$ .

Indeed, this is the solution to both equations. To verify, observe that  $22 = 2 \pmod{5}$  and  $22 = 4 \pmod{6}$ .

## Theorem 7

Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k$  be pairwise co-prime integers and let  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k$  be any integers such that  $0 \leq a_i < n_i$  for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , and let  $N = n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \dots \cdot n_k$ . Then the solution of the system of congruence equations

$$x \equiv a_1 \pmod{n_1}$$

$$x \equiv a_2 \pmod{n_2}$$

...

$$x \equiv a_k \pmod{n_k}$$

is

$$x \equiv \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i \pmod{N} ,$$

where  $N_i = \frac{N}{n_i}$  and  $M_i$  is the Bézout coefficient satisfying  $M_i N_i + m_i n_i = 1 = \gcd(N_i, n_i)$ .



## Proof.

As  $N_j$  is a multiple of  $n_i$  for  $i \neq j$ , it holds that

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \sum_{i=1}^k a_i M_i N_i = \underbrace{a_1 M_1 N_1}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{n_i}} + \dots + a_i M_i N_i + \dots + \underbrace{a_k M_k N_k}_{\equiv 0 \pmod{n_i}} \\ &\equiv a_i M_i N_i \pmod{n_i} .\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\gcd(N_i, n_i) = 1$ , the Bézout identity  $M_i N_i + m_i n_i = 1$  applies, and hence  $M_i N_i = 1 - m_i n_i$ . And so

$$x \equiv a_i M_i N_i \pmod{n_i} \equiv a_i (1 - m_i n_i) \pmod{n_i} \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i} .$$



I.e., consider the following system of equations

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{6}$$

$$x \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$$

The composite modulus  $N = 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 = 210$ .

$$N_1 = \frac{210}{5} = 42 \quad , \quad N_2 = \frac{210}{6} = 35 \quad , \quad N_3 = \frac{210}{7} = 30 \quad .$$

The Bézout identities are:

$$\gcd(42, 5) = (-2) \cdot 42 + 17 \cdot 5$$

$$\gcd(35, 6) = (-1) \cdot 35 + 6 \cdot 6$$

$$\gcd(30, 7) = (-3) \cdot 30 + 13 \cdot 7$$

Hence, the solution is

$$\begin{aligned} x &= 2 \cdot (-2) \cdot 42 + 4 \cdot (-1) \cdot 35 + 5 \cdot (-3) \cdot 30 \\ &= -168 - 140 - 450 = -758 \equiv 82 \pmod{210}. \end{aligned}$$

It can be seen that  $82 \bmod 5 = 2$ ,  $82 \bmod 6 = 4$ , and  $82 \bmod 7 = 5$ .



THANK YOU  
FOR  
YOUR  
ATTENTION  
ANY QUESTIONS?